

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,060	08/01/2003	Tienyu Chiu	LUC-419/Chiu 4	3584	
32205 PATTL HEWI	7590 05/07/2008 ITT & AREZINA LLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
ONE NORTH	LASALLE STREET	O'CONNOR, BRIAN T			
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/633,060	CHIU, TIENYU					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	BRIAN T. O'CONNOR	2619					

	BRIAN T. O'CON	NOR	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover s	heet with the	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			-	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following ju application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods.	the same day as fil eplies: (1) an amer al (with appeal fee	ing a Notice of andment, affidavi	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final reject	tion.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	iter than SIX MONTH b). ONLY CHECK BO	S from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period avoid under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition of ension and the correst hortened statutory pe	ponding amount riod for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 Cl	FR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t. (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown of the properties of the properti	sideration and/or s v);	earch (see NO	E below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding numb	per of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		otice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			•	
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			l be entered and an ex	cplanation of
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejection and was not earlie	ns under appea r presented. Se	and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the	e claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the	ne application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

number is used by the Central Office.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner maintains the rejection of claims 1-10 under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Schessel in view of Ress.

Applicant argues, on page 6, with respect to claim 1 that "Schessel requires an external router to translate the IP addresses and directory numbers for the central office."

The Examiner maintains that Schessel also discloses a method where a IP telephone customer (column 5, lines 18-24) is using an ISP (13 of Figure 18) to place a request over an IP connection to the Central Office (16n of Figure 18). The calling ror caller enters the called party's telephone digits or number (222 of Figure 2) that is directly sent from the calling party's ISP over an IP connection to the Central Office and reachs the Internet Interface (27 of Figure 1A). In this scenario the external router is not required and the message packet contains the telephone number of the called party as shown in step (210 of Figure 2) where the called party digits or number is used by the

Applicant argues, on page 6, with respect to claim 1 that "in Schessel, a directory number received by the central office cannot have been in a packet originated by a subscriber since the router server 30 will have to originate a packet containing this formation". The Examiner maintains that Schessel further disclose a method where a calling party, using an IP connection from an ISP (13 of Figure 18), enters the called party is telephone digits or number (222 of Figure 2) that is directly sent from the calling party's ISP over an IP connection to the Central Office and reaches the Internet Interface (27 of Figure 1A). Here the external router server is not required and the message peaket contains the telephone number of the called party as shown in step (210 of Figure 2).